

# Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Food Allergy in the United States

NIAID

## Summary of the NIAID-Sponsored Expert Panel Report

National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
National Institutes of Health



National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases

# Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Food Allergy in the United States

## Summary of the NIAID-Sponsored Expert Panel Report



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
National Institutes of Health  
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases

NIH Publication No. 11-7700  
December 2010



# Authors

## Primary Authors

### **Joshua A. Boyce, M.D.**

Division of Rheumatology, Immunology  
and Allergy  
Brigham and Women's Hospital  
Department of Medicine  
Harvard Medical School  
Boston, MA

### **Amal Assa'ad, M.D.**

Division of Allergy and Immunology  
Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical  
Center  
University of Cincinnati  
Cincinnati, OH

### **A. Wesley Burks, M.D.**

Division of Allergy and Immunology  
Department of Pediatrics  
Duke University Medical Center  
Durham, NC

### **Stacie M. Jones, M.D.**

Division of Allergy and Immunology  
Department of Pediatrics  
University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences  
Arkansas Children's Hospital  
Little Rock, AR

### **Hugh A. Sampson, M.D.**

Elliot and Roslyn Jaffe Food Allergy Institute  
Division of Allergy and Immunology  
Department of Pediatrics  
Mount Sinai School of Medicine  
New York, NY

### **Robert A. Wood, M.D.**

Division of Allergy and Immunology  
Department of Pediatrics  
The Johns Hopkins University School of  
Medicine  
Baltimore, MD

### **Marshall Plaut, M.D.**

Division of Allergy, Immunology, and  
Transplantation  
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious  
Diseases  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, MD

### **Susan F. Cooper, M.Sc.**

Division of Allergy, Immunology, and  
Transplantation  
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious  
Diseases  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, MD

### **Matthew J. Fenton, Ph.D.**

Division of Allergy, Immunology, and  
Transplantation  
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious  
Diseases  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, MD

## NIAID-Sponsored Expert Panel Authors

### **S. Hasan Arshad, M.B.B.S., M.R.C.P., D.M., F.R.C.P.**

School of Medicine  
University of Southampton  
Southampton, UK  
The David Hide Asthma and Allergy Research  
Centre  
St. Mary's Hospital  
Newport, Isle of Wight, UK  
Southampton University Hospital NHS Trust  
Southampton, UK

**Sami L. Bahna, M.D., Dr.P.H.**  
 Department of Pediatrics  
 Section of Allergy and Immunology  
 Louisiana State University Health Sciences  
 Center  
 Shreveport, LA

**Lisa A. Beck, M.D.**  
 Department of Dermatology  
 University of Rochester Medical Center  
 Rochester, NY

**Carol Byrd-Bredbenner, Ph.D., R.D., F.A.D.A.**  
 Department of Nutritional Sciences  
 Rutgers University  
 New Brunswick, NJ

**Carlos A. Camargo, Jr., M.D., Dr.P.H.**  
 Department of Emergency Medicine  
 Division of Rheumatology, Allergy  
 and Immunology  
 Department of Medicine  
 Massachusetts General Hospital  
 Harvard Medical School  
 Boston, MA

**Lawrence Eichenfield, M.D.**  
 Division of Pediatric and Adolescent  
 Dermatology  
 Rady Children’s Hospital  
 San Diego, CA  
 Departments of Pediatrics and Medicine  
 University of California, San Diego  
 San Diego, CA

**Glenn T. Furuta, M.D.**  
 Section of Pediatric Gastroenterology,  
 Hepatology, and Nutrition  
 Digestive Health Institute  
 Children’s Hospital Denver  
 Aurora, CO  
 Department of Pediatrics  
 National Jewish Health  
 Denver, CO  
 Department of Pediatrics  
 University of Colorado Denver School of  
 Medicine  
 Aurora, CO

**Jon M. Hanifin, M.D.**  
 Department of Dermatology  
 Oregon Health and Science University  
 Portland, OR

**Carol Jones, R.N., A.E.-C.**  
 Asthma Educator and Consultant  
 Allergy and Asthma Network Mothers of  
 Asthmatics  
 McLean, VA

**Monica Kraft, M.D.**  
 Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, and Critical  
 Care Medicine  
 Department of Medicine  
 Duke University Medical Center  
 Durham, NC

**Bruce D. Levy, M.D.**  
 Partners Asthma Center  
 Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine  
 Brigham and Women’s Hospital and Harvard  
 Medical School  
 Boston, MA

**Phil Lieberman, M.D.**  
 Division of Allergy and Immunology  
 Department of Medicine  
 University of Tennessee College of Medicine  
 Memphis, TN

**Stefano Luccioli, M.D.**  
 Office of Food Additive Safety  
 U.S. Food and Drug Administration  
 College Park, MD

**Kathleen M. McCall, B.S.N., R.N.**  
 Children’s Hospital of Orange County  
 Orange, CA

**Lynda C. Schneider, M.D.**  
 Division of Immunology  
 Children’s Hospital Boston  
 Boston, MA

**Ronald A. Simon, M.D.**

Division of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology  
Scripps Clinic  
San Diego, CA

**F. Estelle R. Simons, M.D.**

Departments of Pediatrics and Child Health  
and Immunology  
Faculty of Medicine  
University of Manitoba  
Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

**Stephen J. Teach, M.D., M.P.H.**

Division of Emergency Medicine  
Children's National Medical Center  
Washington, DC

**Barbara P. Yawn, M.D., M.P.H., M.Sc.**

Department of Research  
Olmsted Medical Center  
Rochester, MN  
Department of Family and Community  
Health  
University of Minnesota School of Medicine  
Minneapolis, MN

**Contributing Author**

**Julie M. Schwaninger, M.Sc.**

Division of Allergy, Immunology, and  
Transplantation  
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious  
Diseases  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, MD

**Corresponding Author**

**Matthew J. Fenton, Ph.D.**

Division of Allergy, Immunology, and  
Transplantation  
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious  
Diseases  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, MD  
6610 Rockledge Drive, Room 3105  
Bethesda, MD 20892  
Phone: 301-496-8973  
Fax: 301-402-0175  
E-mail: fentonm@niaid.nih.gov

For additional copies of this document, please  
contact [daitinfo@niaid.nih.gov](mailto:daitinfo@niaid.nih.gov).





# Contents\*

- Section 1. Introduction..... 1**
  - 1.1. Overview..... 1
  - 1.2. Relationship of the U.S. Guidelines to Other Guidelines..... 1
  - 1.3. How the Guidelines Were Developed..... 1
    - 1.3.1. The Coordinating Committee ..... 1
    - 1.3.2. The Expert Panel..... 2
    - 1.3.3. The Independent, Systematic Literature Review and Report ..... 2
    - 1.3.4. Assessing the Quality of the Body of Evidence..... 2
    - 1.3.5. Preparation of the Draft Guidelines and Expert Panel Deliberations..... 2
    - 1.3.6. Public Comment Period and Draft Guidelines Revision ..... 3
  - 1.4. Defining the Strength of Each Clinical Guideline ..... 3
  - 1.5. Summary..... 3
  
- Section 2. Definitions, Prevalence, and Epidemiology of Food Allergy ..... 4**
  - 2.1. Definitions ..... 4
    - 2.1.1. Definitions of Food Allergy, Food, and Food Allergens ..... 4
    - 2.1.2. Definitions of Related Terms ..... 4
    - 2.1.3. Definitions of Specific Food-Induced Allergic Conditions ..... 5
  - 2.2. Prevalence and Epidemiology of Food Allergy ..... 6
    - 2.2.1. Systematic Reviews of the Prevalence of Food Allergy ..... 6
    - 2.2.2. Prevalence of Allergy to Specific Foods, Food-Induced Anaphylaxis,  
and Food Allergy With Comorbid Conditions..... 6
  
- Section 3. Natural History of Food Allergy and Associated Disorders..... 8**
  - 3.1. Natural History of Food Allergy in Children ..... 8
  - 3.2. Natural History of Levels of Allergen-Specific IgE to Foods in Children ..... 8
  - 3.3. Natural History of Food Allergy in Adults ..... 8
  - 3.4. Natural History of Conditions That Coexist With Food Allergy ..... 8
    - 3.4.1. Asthma..... 8
    - 3.4.2. Atopic Dermatitis..... 9
    - 3.4.3. Eosinophilic Esophagitis..... 9
    - 3.4.4. Exercise-Induced Anaphylaxis..... 9
  - 3.5. Risk Factors for the Development of Food Allergy ..... 9
  - 3.6. Risk Factors for Severity of Allergic Reactions to Foods..... 9
  - 3.7. Incidence, Prevalence, and Consequences of Unintentional Exposure to  
Food Allergens ..... 9

<b>Section 4. Diagnosis of Food Allergy .....</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1. When Should Food Allergy Be Suspected? .....	10
4.2. Diagnosis of IgE-Mediated Food Allergy .....	10
4.2.1. Medical History and Physical Examination .....	10
4.2.2. Methods To Identify the Causative Food.....	10
4.2.2.1. Skin Prick Test.....	10
4.2.2.2. Intradermal Tests .....	12
4.2.2.3. Total Serum IgE.....	12
4.2.2.4. Allergen-Specific Serum IgE .....	12
4.2.2.5. Atopy Patch Test.....	12
4.2.2.6. Use of Skin Prick Tests, sIgE Tests, and Atopy Patch Tests in Combination.....	12
4.2.2.7. Food Elimination Diets .....	12
4.2.2.8. Oral Food Challenges .....	12
4.2.2.9. Nonstandardized and Unproven Procedures .....	13
4.3. Diagnosis of Non-IgE-Mediated Immunologic Adverse Reactions to Food.....	13
4.3.1. Eosinophilic Gastrointestinal Diseases .....	13
4.3.2. Food Protein-Induced Enterocolitis Syndrome .....	13
4.3.3. Food Protein-Induced Allergic Proctocolitis .....	13
4.3.4. Food Protein-Induced Enteropathy Syndrome.....	14
4.3.5. Allergic Contact Dermatitis.....	14
4.3.6. Systemic Contact Dermatitis .....	14
4.4. Diagnosis of IgE-Mediated Contact Urticaria .....	14
 <b>Section 5. Management of Nonacute Allergic Reactions and Prevention of     Food Allergy.....</b>	 <b>15</b>
5.1. Management of Individuals With Food Allergy .....	15
5.1.1. Dietary Avoidance of Specific Allergens in IgE-Mediated Food Allergy .....	15
5.1.2. Dietary Avoidance of Specific Allergens in Non-IgE-Mediated Food Allergy ..	15
5.1.3. Effects of Dietary Avoidance on Associated and Comorbid Conditions, Such As Atopic Dermatitis, Asthma, and Eosinophilic Esophagitis.....	15
5.1.4. Food Avoidance and Nutritional Status .....	15
5.1.5. Food Labeling in Food Allergy Management .....	15
5.1.6. When To Reevaluate Patients With Food Allergy .....	15
5.1.7. Pharmacologic Intervention for the Prevention of Food-Induced Allergic Reactions .....	16
5.1.7.1. IgE-Mediated Reactions .....	16
5.1.7.2. Non-IgE-Mediated Reactions.....	16
5.1.8. Pharmacologic Intervention for the Treatment of Food-Induced Allergic Reactions .....	16
5.1.9. Immunotherapy for Food Allergy Management .....	16
5.1.9.1. Allergen-Specific Immunotherapy .....	16
5.1.9.2. Immunotherapy With Cross-Reactive Allergens.....	16
5.1.10. Quality-of-Life Issues Associated With Food Allergy .....	16
5.1.11. Vaccinations in Patients With Egg Allergy .....	16

5.1.11.1. Measles, Mumps, Rubella, and Varicella Vaccine.....	17
5.1.11.2. Influenza Vaccine.....	17
5.1.11.3. Yellow Fever Vaccine.....	18
5.1.11.4. Rabies Vaccines .....	18
5.2. Management of Individuals At Risk for Food Allergy .....	18
5.2.1. Nonfood Allergen Avoidance in At-Risk Patients .....	18
5.2.2. Dietary Avoidance of Foods With Cross-Reactivities in At-Risk Patients.....	18
5.2.3. Testing of Allergenic Foods in Patients at High Risk Prior to Introduction ....	18
5.2.4. Testing in Infants and Children With Persistent Atopic Dermatitis.....	19
5.3. Prevention of Food Allergy .....	19
5.3.1. Maternal Diet During Pregnancy and Lactation.....	19
5.3.2. Breast-Feeding.....	19
5.3.3. Special Diets in Infants and Young Children.....	19
5.3.3.1. Soy Infant Formula Versus Cow’s Milk Formula.....	19
5.3.3.2. Hydrolyzed Infant Formulas Versus Cow’s Milk Formula or Breast-Feeding.....	20
5.3.4. Timing of Introduction of Allergenic Foods to Infants.....	20
<b>Section 6. Diagnosis and Management of Food-Induced Anaphylaxis and Other Acute Allergic Reactions to Foods.....</b>	<b>21</b>
6.1. Definition of Anaphylaxis.....	21
6.2. Diagnosis of Acute, Life-Threatening, Food-Induced Allergic Reactions.....	21
6.3. Treatment of Acute, Life-Threatening, Food-Induced Allergic Reactions.....	21
6.3.1. First-Line and Adjuvant Treatment for Food-Induced Anaphylaxis .....	21
6.3.2. Treatment of Refractory Anaphylaxis .....	24
6.3.3. Possible Risks of Acute Therapy for Anaphylaxis .....	24
6.3.4. Treatment To Prevent Biphasic or Prolonged Food-Induced Allergic Reactions .....	24
6.3.5. Management of Milder, Acute Food-Induced Allergic Reactions in Health Care Settings .....	25
6.4. Management of Food-Induced Anaphylaxis.....	25
<b>Appendix A. Primary Author Affiliations and Acknowledgments.....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Appendix B. List of Abbreviations.....</b>	<b>29</b>

\* Some numbered sections found in the Guidelines are not included in this Summary because they do not include a guideline recommendation or an “In Summary” statement, or because the detailed information in the section was not suitable for a concise Summary.



# SECTION 1. Introduction

## 1.1. Overview

Food allergy (FA) is an important public health problem that affects adults and children and may be increasing in prevalence. Despite the risk of severe allergic reactions and even death, there is no current treatment for FA: the disease can only be managed by allergen avoidance or treatment of symptoms. Moreover, the diagnosis of FA may be problematic, given that nonallergic food reactions, such as food intolerance, are frequently confused with FAs. Additional concerns relate to the differences in the diagnosis and management of FA in different clinical practice settings.

Due to these concerns, the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), part of the National Institutes of Health, working with more than 30 professional organizations, federal agencies, and patient advocacy groups, led the development of “best practice” clinical guidelines for the diagnosis and management of FA (henceforth referred to as the Guidelines). Based on a comprehensive review and objective evaluation of the recent scientific and clinical literature on FA, the Guidelines were developed by and designed for allergists/immunologists, clinical researchers, and practitioners in the areas of pediatrics, family medicine, internal medicine, dermatology, gastroenterology, emergency medicine, pulmonary and critical care medicine, and others.

The Guidelines focus on diseases that are defined as FA (see section 2.1) and include both immunoglobulin E (IgE)-mediated reactions to food and some non-IgE-mediated reactions to food. The Guidelines do not discuss celiac disease, which is an immunologic non-IgE-mediated reaction to certain foods. Although this is an immune-based disease involving food, existing clinical guidelines for celiac disease will not be restated here.

Finally, these Guidelines do not address the management of patients with FA outside of clinical care settings (e.g., schools and restaurants) or the related public health policy issues. These issues are beyond the scope of this document.

## 1.2. Relationship of the U.S. Guidelines to Other Guidelines

(Not summarized here; refer to Guidelines.)

## 1.3. How the Guidelines Were Developed

### 1.3.1. The Coordinating Committee

NIAID established a Coordinating Committee (CC), whose members are listed in Appendix A of the Guidelines, to oversee the development of the Guidelines; review drafts of the Guidelines for accuracy, practicality, clarity, and broad utility of the recommendations in clinical practice; review the final Guidelines; and disseminate the Guidelines. The CC members were from 34 professional organizations, advocacy groups,

and federal agencies, and each member was vetted for financial conflict of interest (COI) by NIAID staff.

### 1.3.2. The Expert Panel

The CC convened an Expert Panel (EP) in March 2009 that was chaired by Joshua Boyce, M.D. (Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, MA). Panel members were specialists from a variety of relevant clinical, scientific, and public health areas (see Acknowledgments). Each member was vetted for financial COI by NIAID staff and approved by the CC. The charge to the EP was to use an independent, systematic literature review, in conjunction with consensus expert opinion and EP-identified supplementary documents, to develop Guidelines that provide a comprehensive approach for diagnosing and managing FA based on the current state of the science.

### 1.3.3. The Independent, Systematic Literature Review and Report

RAND Corporation prepared an independent, systematic literature review and evidence report on the state of the science in FA. This work was supported by an NIAID contract awarded in September 2008. The contract’s principal investigator was Paul G. Shekelle, M.D., Ph.D., an internationally recognized expert in the fields of practice guidelines and meta-analysis. RAND screened more than 12,300 titles, reviewed more than 1,200 articles, abstracted nearly 900 articles, and included 348 articles in the final RAND report. The full version of the report with a complete list of references is available at [http://www.rand.org/pubs/working\\_papers/WR757-1/](http://www.rand.org/pubs/working_papers/WR757-1/).

### 1.3.4. Assessing the Quality of the Body of Evidence

In addition to assessing the quality of each of the included studies, RAND assessed the quality of the body of evidence using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach, which was developed in 2004. GRADE provides a comprehensive and transparent methodology to develop recommendations for the diagnosis, treatment, and management of patients. In assessing the body of evidence, GRADE considers study design and other factors, such as the precision, consistency, and directness of the data. Using this approach, GRADE then provides a grade of high, moderate, or low for the quality of the body of evidence.

### 1.3.5. Preparation of the Draft Guidelines and Expert Panel Deliberations

All 43 clinical recommendations drafted by the EP received 90 percent (or higher) agreement. Sections 3, 5, and 6 of the Guidelines also contain “In Summary” statements. These statements are intended to provide health care professionals with significant information that did not warrant a recommendation, or are in place of a recommendation when the EP or the CC could not reach consensus. All “In Summary” statements received 90 percent (or higher) agreement.

### 1.3.6. Public Comment Period and Draft Guidelines Revision

The draft Guidelines were posted to the NIAID Web site in March 2010 for a period of 60 days to allow for public review and comment. More than 550 comments were collected and reviewed by the CC, the EP, and NIAID. The EP revised the Guidelines in response to some of these comments. The final Guidelines were published in the December 2010 issue of the *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* and are publically available at <http://www.niaid.nih.gov/topics/foodallergy/clinical/>.

## 1.4. Defining the Strength of Each Clinical Guideline

The EP has used the verb “recommends” or “suggests” in each clinical guideline. These words convey the strength of the guideline, defined as follows:

- **Recommend** is used when the EP strongly recommended for or against a particular course of action.
- **Suggest** is used when the EP weakly recommended for or against a particular course of action.

## 1.5. Summary

The Guidelines are intended to assist health care professionals in making appropriate decisions about patient care in the United States. The recommendations are not fixed protocols that must be followed. Health care professionals should take these Guidelines into account when exercising their clinical judgment. However, this guidance does not override their responsibility to make decisions appropriate to the circumstances of the individual patient, in consultation with the patient, guardian, or caregiver. Clinical judgment on the management of individual patients remains paramount. Health care professionals, patients, and their families need to develop individual treatment plans that are tailored to the specific needs and circumstances of the patient. This document is intended as a resource to guide clinical practice and develop educational materials for patients, their families, and the public. It is not an official regulatory document of any government agency.

# SECTION 2. Definitions, Prevalence, and Epidemiology of Food Allergy

## 2.1. Definitions

### 2.1.1. Definitions of Food Allergy, Food, and Food Allergens

The EP came to consensus on definitions used throughout the Guidelines.

A **food allergy** is defined as an adverse health effect arising from a specific immune response that occurs reproducibly on exposure to a given food.

A **food** is defined as any substance—whether processed, semiprocessed, or raw—that is intended for human consumption, and includes drinks, chewing gum, food additives, and dietary supplements. Substances used only as drugs, tobacco products, and cosmetics (such as lip-care products) that may be ingested are not included.

**Food allergens** are defined as those specific components of food or ingredients within food (typically proteins, but sometimes also chemical haptens) that are recognized by allergen-specific immune cells and elicit specific immunologic reactions, resulting in characteristic symptoms. Some allergens (most often from fruits and vegetables) cause allergic reactions primarily if eaten when raw. However, most food allergens can still cause reactions even after they have been cooked or have undergone digestion in the stomach and intestines. A phenomenon called **cross-reactivity** may occur when an antibody reacts not only with the original allergen, but also with a similar allergen. In FA, cross-reactivity occurs when a food allergen shares structural or sequence similarity with a different food allergen or aeroallergen, which may then trigger an adverse reaction similar to that triggered by the original food allergen. Cross-reactivity is common, for example, among different shellfish and different tree nuts.

Food oils—such as soy, corn, peanut, and sesame—range from very low allergenicity (if virtually all of the food protein is removed in processing) to very high allergenicity (if little of the food protein is removed in processing).

### 2.1.2. Definitions of Related Terms

Because individuals can develop allergic **sensitization** (as evidenced by the presence of allergen-specific IgE [sIgE]) to food allergens without having clinical symptoms on exposure to those foods, an sIgE-mediated FA requires *both* the presence of sensitization *and* the development of specific signs and symptoms on exposure to that food. Sensitization alone is not sufficient to define FA.



These Guidelines generally use the term **tolerate** to denote a condition where an individual has either naturally outgrown an FA or has received therapy and no longer develops clinical symptoms following ingestion of the food. This ability to tolerate food does not distinguish between these two possible clinical states. Individuals may tolerate food only for a short term, perhaps because they have been desensitized by exposure to the food. Alternatively, they may develop long-term tolerance. The specific term **tolerance** is used in these Guidelines to mean that an individual is symptom free after consumption of the food or upon oral food challenge weeks, months, or even years after the cessation of treatment. The immunological mechanisms that underlie tolerance in humans are poorly understood.

Although many different foods and food components have been recognized as food allergens, these Guidelines focus on only those foods that are responsible for the majority of observed adverse allergic or immunologic reactions. Moreover, foods or food components that elicit reproducible adverse reactions but do not have established or likely immunologic mechanisms are not considered food allergens. Instead, these nonimmunologic adverse reactions are termed **food intolerances**. For example, an individual may be allergic to cow's milk (henceforth referred to as milk) due to an immunologic response to milk protein, or alternatively, that individual may be intolerant to milk due to an inability to digest the sugar lactose. In the former situation, milk protein is considered an allergen because it triggers an adverse immunologic reaction. Inability to digest lactose leads to excess fluid production in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, resulting in abdominal pain and diarrhea. This condition is termed lactose intolerance, and lactose is not an allergen because the response is not immune based. It should be noted that the words **tolerance** and **intolerance** are unrelated terms, even though the spelling of the words implies that they are opposites.

### 2.1.3. Definitions of Specific Food-Induced Allergic Conditions

The reader is referred to the Guidelines for the definitions of the following:

- **Food-induced anaphylaxis**
- **GI food allergies and several specific syndromes**
  - Immediate GI hypersensitivity
  - Eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE)
  - Eosinophilic gastroenteritis
  - Food protein-induced allergic proctocolitis (AP)
  - Food protein-induced enterocolitis syndrome (FPIES)
  - Oral allergy syndrome (OAS)

- **Cutaneous reactions to foods**
  - Acute urticaria
  - Angioedema
  - The increase in atopic dermatitis (AD) symptoms
  - Allergic contact dermatitis
  - Contact urticaria
- Respiratory manifestations
- Heiner syndrome

## 2.2. Prevalence and Epidemiology of Food Allergy

The true prevalence of FA has been difficult to establish for several reasons.

- Although more than 170 foods have been reported to cause IgE-mediated reactions, most prevalence studies have focused on only the most common foods.
- The incidence and prevalence of FA may have changed over time, and many studies have indeed suggested a true rise in prevalence over the past 10–20 years.
- Studies of FA incidence, prevalence, and natural history are difficult to compare because of inconsistencies and deficiencies in study design and variations in the definition of FA.

### 2.2.1. Systematic Reviews of the Prevalence of Food Allergy

(Not summarized here; refer to Guidelines.)

### 2.2.2. Prevalence of Allergy to Specific Foods, Food-Induced Anaphylaxis, and Food Allergy With Comorbid Conditions

The following is a summary of prevalence data for the most common food allergies and anaphylaxis:

#### **Peanut**

- Prevalence of peanut allergy in the United States is about 0.6 percent of the population.
- Prevalence of peanut allergy in France, Germany, Israel, Sweden, and the United Kingdom varies between 0.06 and 5.9 percent.

### Tree nuts

- Prevalence of tree nut allergy in the United States is 0.4–0.5 percent of the population.
- Prevalence of tree nut allergy in France, Germany, Israel, Sweden, and the United Kingdom varies between 0.03 and 8.5 percent.

### Seafood

- Prevalence rates in the United States are significantly lower for children than for adults: fish allergy, 0.2 percent for children versus 0.5 percent for adults; crustacean shellfish allergy, 0.5 versus 2.5 percent; any seafood allergy, 0.6 versus 2.8 percent.
- Prevalence rates in the United States are higher for women than for men: crustacean shellfish allergy, 2.6 percent for women versus 1.5 percent for men; any fish, 0.6 versus 0.2 percent.

### Milk and hen's egg

- In a Danish cohort, allergy to milk was confirmed in 2.2 percent. Of these, 54 percent had IgE-mediated allergy, and the remaining 46 percent were classified as non-IgE mediated.
- In a Norwegian cohort, the prevalence of hen's egg (henceforth referred to as egg) allergy was estimated to be 1.6 percent, and most egg reactions were IgE mediated.

### Food-induced anaphylaxis

- Several studies in the United States assessed the incidence of anaphylaxis related to food. These studies found wide differences in the rates (from 1/100,000 population to as high as 70/100,000 population) of hospitalization or emergency department visits for anaphylaxis, as assessed by International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) codes or medical record review.
- The proportion of anaphylaxis cases thought to be due to foods also varied between 13 and 65 percent, with the lowest percentages found in studies that used more stringent diagnostic criteria for anaphylaxis.

The EP agreed that any estimate of the overall U.S. incidence of anaphylaxis is unlikely to have utility because such an estimate fails to reflect the substantial variability in patient age, geographic distribution, criteria used to diagnose anaphylaxis, and the study methods used.

## SECTION 3. Natural History of Food Allergy and Associated Disorders

The EP reviewed the literature on the natural history of FA and summarized the available data for the most common food allergens in the United States: egg, milk, peanut, tree nuts, wheat, crustacean shellfish, and soy. Natural history data for fish allergy were unavailable as of the completion of the systematic literature review (September 2009). It should be noted that many published studies addressing the natural history of FA typically come from selected populations (e.g., from a single clinic or hospital) that may not be representative of the general or community-based patient population with a specific FA condition. Thus, the findings of these studies may not necessarily be extrapolated to all patients with the condition.

### 3.1. Natural History of Food Allergy in Children

**In Summary:** Most children with FA eventually will tolerate milk, egg, soy, and wheat; far fewer will eventually tolerate tree nuts and peanut. The time course of FA resolution in children varies by food and may occur as late as the teenage years. A high initial level of sIgE against a food is associated with a lower rate of resolution of clinical allergy over time.

### 3.2. Natural History of Levels of Allergen-Specific IgE to Foods in Children

**In Summary:** For many patients, sIgE antibodies to foods appear within the first 2 years of life. Levels may increase or decrease; a decrease is often associated with the ability to tolerate the foods.

### 3.3. Natural History of Food Allergy in Adults

**In Summary:** FA in adults can reflect persistence of pediatric FAs (e.g., milk, peanut, and tree nuts) or *de novo* sensitization to food allergens encountered after childhood. Although there is a paucity of data from U.S. studies, FA that starts in adult life tends to persist.

### 3.4. Natural History of Conditions That Coexist With Food Allergy

**In Summary:** FA may coexist with asthma, AD, EoE, and exercise-induced anaphylaxis. In patients with asthma, the coexistence of FA may be a risk factor for severe asthma exacerbations. Moreover, food may be a trigger for exercise-induced anaphylaxis. Elimination of food allergens in sensitized individuals can improve symptoms of some comorbid conditions.

#### 3.4.1. Asthma

**In Summary:** Asthma and FA often coexist in pediatric and adult patients. FA is associated with severe asthma.

### 3.4.2. Atopic Dermatitis

**In Summary:** AD and FA are highly associated. When tolerance develops to a food, the reintroduction of the food in the diet will not result in recurrence or worsening of the AD.

### 3.4.3. Eosinophilic Esophagitis

**In Summary:** EoE is commonly associated with sensitization to foods. The natural history of EoE is that of a chronic condition that resolves spontaneously or with therapy, and then relapses. There are insufficient data to judge the impact of food sensitization on the natural history of EoE, and vice versa. Only retrospective data exist that support a beneficial effect of dietary changes on the histopathologic changes in the esophagus in EoE.

### 3.4.4. Exercise-Induced Anaphylaxis

**In Summary:** Exercise-induced anaphylaxis in adults is triggered by foods in about one-third of patients and has a natural history marked by frequent recurrence of the episodes.

## 3.5. Risk Factors for the Development of Food Allergy

**In Summary:** Family history of atopy and the presence of AD are risk factors for the development of both sensitization to food and confirmed FA.

## 3.6. Risk Factors for Severity of Allergic Reactions to Foods

**In Summary:** The severity of allergic reactions to foods is multifactorial and variable. The severity of a reaction cannot be accurately predicted by the degree of severity of past reactions nor by the level of sIgE or the size of the wheal from the skin prick test (SPT). The factor most commonly identified with the most severe reactions is the coexistence of asthma.

## 3.7. Incidence, Prevalence, and Consequences of Unintentional Exposure to Food Allergens

**In Summary:** Self-reported reactions to food frequently occur in patients with a known diagnosis of FA. Although a subset of these reactions is due to intentional exposure, most are due to unintentional exposure. Both types of exposure can be life-threatening. There is no evidence that unintentional or intentional exposures to the food allergen alter the natural history of the FA.

## SECTION 4. Diagnosis of Food Allergy

### 4.1. When Should Food Allergy Be Suspected?

**Guideline 1:** The EP recommends that FA should be considered:

- In individuals presenting with anaphylaxis or any combination of symptoms listed in table I that occur within minutes to hours of ingesting food, especially in young children and/or if symptoms have followed the ingestion of a specific food on more than one occasion
- In infants, young children, and selected older children diagnosed with certain disorders, such as moderate to severe AD, EoE, enterocolitis, enteropathy, and AP
- In adults diagnosed with EoE

### 4.2. Diagnosis of IgE-Mediated Food Allergy

#### 4.2.1. Medical History and Physical Examination

**Guideline 2:** The EP recommends using medical history and physical examination to aid in the diagnosis of FA.

- **Medical history:** The EP recommends using a detailed medical history to help focus the evaluation of an FA. Although the medical history often provides evidence for the type of food-induced allergic reaction and the potential causative food(s) involved, history alone cannot be considered diagnostic of FA.
- **Physical examination:** The EP recommends performing a focused physical examination of the patient, which may provide signs consistent with an allergic reaction or disorder often associated with FA. However, by itself, the physical examination cannot be considered diagnostic of FA.

**Guideline 3:** The EP recommends that parent and patient reports of FA must be confirmed, because multiple studies demonstrate that 50–90 percent of presumed FAs are not allergies.

#### 4.2.2. Methods To Identify the Causative Food

##### 4.2.2.1. Skin Prick Test

**Guideline 4:** The EP recommends performing an SPT (also known as a skin puncture test) to assist in the identification of foods that may be provoking IgE-mediated food-induced allergic reactions, but the SPT alone cannot be considered diagnostic of FA.

**Table I. Symptoms of Food-Induced Allergic Reaction**

Target Organ	Immediate Symptoms	Delayed Symptoms
Cutaneous	Erythema Pruritus Urticaria Morbilliform eruption Angioedema	Erythema Flushing Pruritus Morbilliform eruption Angioedema Eczematous rash
Ocular	Pruritus Conjunctival erythema Tearing Periorbital edema	Pruritus Conjunctival erythema Tearing Periorbital edema
Upper respiratory	Nasal congestion Pruritus Rhinorrhea Sneezing Laryngeal edema Hoarseness Dry staccato cough	
Lower respiratory	Cough Chest tightness Dyspnea Wheezing Intercostal retractions Accessory muscle use	Cough, dyspnea, and wheezing
GI (oral)	Angioedema of the lips, tongue, or palate Oral pruritus Tongue swelling	
GI (lower)	Nausea Colicky abdominal pain Reflux Vomiting Diarrhea	Nausea Abdominal pain Reflux Vomiting Diarrhea Hematochezia Irritability and food refusal with weight loss (young children)
Cardiovascular	Tachycardia (occasionally brady- cardia in anaphylaxis) Hypotension Dizziness Fainting Loss of consciousness	
Miscellaneous	Uterine contractions Sense of "impending doom"	

GI, gastrointestinal.

Note: This table is presented as table IV in the Guidelines.

#### 4.2.2.2. Intradermal Tests

**Guideline 5:** The EP recommends that intradermal testing should *not* be used to make a diagnosis of FA.

#### 4.2.2.3. Total Serum IgE

**Guideline 6:** The EP recommends that the routine use of measuring total serum IgE should *not* be used to make a diagnosis of FA.

#### 4.2.2.4. Allergen-Specific Serum IgE

**Guideline 7:** The EP recommends sIgE tests for identifying foods that potentially provoke IgE-mediated food-induced allergic reactions, but alone these tests are *not* diagnostic of FA.

#### 4.2.2.5. Atopy Patch Test

**Guideline 8:** The EP suggests that the atopy patch test (APT) should *not* be used in the routine evaluation of noncontact FA.

#### 4.2.2.6. Use of Skin Prick Tests, sIgE Tests, and Atopy Patch Tests in Combination

**Guideline 9:** The EP suggests *not* using the combination of SPTs, sIgE tests, and APTs for the routine diagnosis of FA.

#### 4.2.2.7. Food Elimination Diets

**Guideline 10:** The EP suggests that elimination of one or a few specific foods from the diet may be useful in the diagnosis of FA, especially in identifying foods responsible for some non-IgE-mediated food-induced allergic disorders, such as FPIES, AP, and Heiner syndrome, and some mixed IgE- and non-IgE-mediated food-induced allergic disorders, such as EoE.

#### 4.2.2.8. Oral Food Challenges

**Guideline 11:** The EP recommends using oral food challenges for diagnosing FA. The double-blind placebo-controlled food challenge is the gold standard. However, a single-blind or an open-food challenge may be considered diagnostic under certain circumstances: If either of these challenges elicits no symptoms (i.e., the challenge is negative), then FA can be ruled out; but when either challenge elicits objective symptoms (i.e., the challenge is positive) *and* those objective symptoms correlate with medical history *and* are supported by laboratory tests, then a diagnosis of FA is supported.



#### 4.2.2.9. Nonstandardized and Unproven Procedures

**Guideline 12:** The EP recommends *not* using any of the following nonstandardized tests for the routine evaluation of IgE-mediated FA:

- Basophil histamine release/activation
- Lymphocyte stimulation
- Facial thermography
- Gastric juice analysis
- Endoscopic allergen provocation
- Hair analysis
- Applied kinesiology
- Provocation neutralization
- Allergen-specific IgG<sub>4</sub>
- Cytotoxicity assays
- Electrodermal test (Vega)
- Mediator release assay (LEAP diet)

### 4.3. Diagnosis of Non-IgE-Mediated Immunologic Adverse Reactions to Food

#### 4.3.1. Eosinophilic Gastrointestinal Diseases

**Guideline 13:** The EP suggests that SPTs, sIgE tests, and APTs may be considered to help identify foods that are associated with EoE, but these tests alone are *not* sufficient to make the diagnosis of FA. The role of these tests in the diagnosis of other eosinophilic GI disorders has not been established.

#### 4.3.2. Food Protein-Induced Enterocolitis Syndrome

**Guideline 14:** The EP recommends using the medical history and oral food challenge to establish a diagnosis of FPIES. However, when history indicates that infants or children have experienced hypotensive episodes or multiple reactions to the same food, a diagnosis may be based on a convincing history and absence of symptoms when the causative food is eliminated from the diet.

#### 4.3.3. Food Protein-Induced Allergic Proctocolitis

**Guideline 15:** The EP recommends using the medical history, resolution of symptoms when the causative food is eliminated from the diet, and recurrence of symptoms following an oral food challenge to diagnose AP.

#### 4.3.4. Food Protein-Induced Enteropathy Syndrome

(Not summarized here; refer to Guidelines.)

#### 4.3.5. Allergic Contact Dermatitis

**Guideline 16:** The EP recommends using the medical history, including the absence of symptoms while the causative food is avoided, and positive patch tests to diagnose allergic contact dermatitis.

#### 4.3.6. Systemic Contact Dermatitis

**Guideline 17:** The EP suggests using the medical history, including the resolution of symptoms while the causative food is avoided, and positive patch tests to establish the diagnosis of systemic contact dermatitis.

### 4.4. Diagnosis of IgE-Mediated Contact Urticaria

**Guideline 18:** The EP suggests using the medical history, including the absence of symptoms while the causative food is avoided, positive sIgE tests or SPTs, and positive immediate epicutaneous skin tests (e.g., positive immediate responses to APTs), to establish the diagnosis of food-induced IgE-mediated contact urticaria.

Contact urticaria can be of two types, either IgE mediated or non-IgE mediated. In IgE-mediated contact urticaria, substances present in foods interact with sIgE bound to cutaneous mast cells, leading to the release of histamine and other inflammatory mediators. Localized or generalized urticaria, as well as systemic symptoms, may result. sIgE-mediated contact urticaria may be assessed with APTs, SPTs, or sIgE tests, although there is no standardization of diagnostic methodology. In non-IgE-mediated contact urticaria to food, systemic symptoms are rarely seen.

# SECTION 5. Management of Nonacute Allergic Reactions and Prevention of Food Allergy

## 5.1. Management of Individuals With Food Allergy

### 5.1.1. Dietary Avoidance of Specific Allergens in IgE-Mediated Food Allergy

**Guideline 19:** The EP recommends that individuals with documented IgE-mediated FA should avoid ingesting their specific allergen or allergens.

### 5.1.2. Dietary Avoidance of Specific Allergens in Non-IgE-Mediated Food Allergy

**Guideline 20:** The EP recommends that individuals with documented non-IgE-mediated FA should avoid ingesting their specific allergen or allergens.

### 5.1.3. Effects of Dietary Avoidance on Associated and Comorbid Conditions, Such As Atopic Dermatitis, Asthma, and Eosinophilic Esophagitis

**Guideline 21:** In individuals with documented or proven FA who also have one or more of the following—AD, asthma, or EoE—the EP recommends avoidance of their specific allergen or allergens.

**Guideline 22:** In individuals without documented or proven FA, the EP does *not* recommend avoiding potentially allergenic foods as a means of managing AD, asthma, or EoE.

### 5.1.4. Food Avoidance and Nutritional Status

**Guideline 23:** The EP recommends nutritional counseling and regular growth monitoring for all children with FA.

### 5.1.5. Food Labeling in Food Allergy Management

**Guideline 24:** The EP suggests that individuals with FA and their caregivers receive education and training on how to interpret ingredient lists on food labels and how to recognize labeling of the food allergens used as ingredients in foods. The EP also suggests that products with precautionary labeling, such as “this product may contain trace amounts of allergen,” be avoided.

### 5.1.6. When To Reevaluate Patients With Food Allergy

**Guideline 25:** The EP suggests follow-up testing for individuals with FA depending on the specific food to which the individual is allergic. Whether testing is done annually or at other intervals depends on the food in question, the age of the child, and the intervening medical history.

### 5.1.7. Pharmacologic Intervention for the Prevention of Food-Induced Allergic Reactions

#### 5.1.7.1. IgE-Mediated Reactions

**Guideline 26:** There are *no* medications currently recommended by the EP to prevent IgE-mediated food-induced allergic reactions from occurring in an individual with existing FA.

#### 5.1.7.2. Non-IgE-Mediated Reactions

**Guideline 27:** There are *no* medications currently recommended by the EP to prevent non-IgE-mediated food-induced allergic reactions from occurring in an individual with existing FA.

### 5.1.8. Pharmacologic Intervention for the Treatment of Food-Induced Allergic Reactions

Allergen avoidance is the first line of treatment for FA, and use of antihistamines, as needed, remains the mainstay of managing (as opposed to preventing) symptoms of nonsevere food-induced allergic reactions. However, drug therapy has been used to treat FA in cases where allergen avoidance is extremely difficult or results in nutritional deficiencies. Drugs that alter the immune response to the allergen are commonly considered the most likely candidates for such therapy in the future, but these treatments are not currently recommended (see Guideline 28).

### 5.1.9. Immunotherapy for Food Allergy Management

#### 5.1.9.1. Allergen-Specific Immunotherapy

**Guideline 28:** The EP does *not* recommend using allergen-specific immunotherapy to treat IgE-mediated FA.

#### 5.1.9.2. Immunotherapy With Cross-Reactive Allergens

**Guideline 29:** The EP does *not* recommend immunotherapy with cross-reactive allergens for treating IgE-mediated FA.

### 5.1.10. Quality-of-Life Issues Associated With Food Allergy

**Guideline 30:** The EP recommends that patients with FA and their caregivers be provided with information on food allergen avoidance and emergency management that is age and culturally appropriate.

### 5.1.11. Vaccinations in Patients With Egg Allergy

**In Summary:** Patients who have generated IgE antibodies to an allergen are at risk for anaphylaxis with systemic exposure to that allergen. Thus, patients who have IgE-mediated egg allergy are at risk for anaphylaxis if injected with vaccines containing egg

protein. More detailed information about specific egg-containing vaccines (measles, mumps, and rubella [MMR], MMR with varicella [MMRV], influenza, yellow fever, and rabies) is provided in sections 5.1.11.1–5.1.11.4 of the Guidelines.

The EP recognizes that changes in these recommendations may occur in the future as there is an increased understanding of the risk factors for allergic reactions and as vaccine manufacturing processes improve and decrease the final egg protein content of vaccines. For the most current recommendations, health care professionals should refer to the Web sites of the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) and Advisory Committee for Immunization Practices (ACIP):

- <http://aapredbook.aappublications.org/>
- <http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/recs/acip/>

#### *5.1.11.1. Measles, Mumps, Rubella, and Varicella Vaccine*

**Guideline 31:** The EP recognizes the varying consensus recommendations of the different organizations on this particular vaccine and recommends that children with egg allergy, even those with a history of severe reactions, receive vaccines for MMR and MMRV. The safety of this practice has been recognized by ACIP and AAP and is noted in the approved product prescribing information for these vaccines.

#### *5.1.11.2. Influenza Vaccine*

**In Summary:** The EP concludes that insufficient evidence exists to recommend administering influenza vaccine, either inactivated or live-attenuated, to patients with a history of severe reactions to egg proteins. Severe reactions include a history of hives, angioedema, allergic asthma, or systemic anaphylaxis to egg proteins (or chicken proteins). Less severe or local manifestations of allergy to egg or feathers are not contraindications. However, the EP notes that egg allergy is relatively common among the very patients who would highly benefit from influenza vaccination. Such patients include children and young adults (from 6 months to 18 years old for seasonal influenza, and from 6 months to 24 years old for H1N1 influenza) and all patients with asthma. It should be noted that live-attenuated vaccine is not licensed for use in patients with asthma.

Although ACIP and AAP, and also the vaccine manufacturers, do not recommend influenza vaccination in patients who are allergic to egg, several publications have described different approaches to giving the influenza vaccine to patients with severe allergic reactions to egg. These approaches, which depend on the ovalbumin content and the results of SPTs or intradermal tests with the vaccine, include a single dose of vaccine, two doses of vaccine, or multiple doses. However, the evidence supporting these approaches is limited by the small numbers of patients

included in each study. Moreover, data indicate that, although the vaccines are relatively safe, there remains some, albeit low, risk of systemic reactions. Also, negative SPT results do not accurately predict safety of vaccination, in that 5 percent of patients with negative SPTs had systemic reactions to vaccination.

#### 5.1.11.3. Yellow Fever Vaccine

**In Summary:** The EP recognizes the current guidelines from the different organizations and recommends *against* administering yellow fever vaccine to patients with a history of hives, angioedema, allergic asthma, or systemic anaphylaxis to egg proteins, unless an allergy evaluation and testing with the vaccine is done first. This approach has been recognized by ACIP and AAP and is noted in the approved product prescribing information for this vaccine.

#### 5.1.11.4. Rabies Vaccines

**In Summary:** The EP recognizes the current guidelines from the different organizations and recommends *against* administering rabies vaccines to patients with a history of hives, angioedema, allergic asthma, or systemic anaphylaxis to egg proteins, unless an allergy evaluation and testing with the vaccine is done first. This approach has been recognized by ACIP and AAP and is noted in the approved product prescribing information for these vaccines.

## 5.2. Management of Individuals At Risk for Food Allergy

### 5.2.1. Nonfood Allergen Avoidance in At-Risk Patients

**Guideline 32:** The EP suggests that patients *at risk* for developing FA do *not* limit exposure to potential nonfood allergens (e.g., dust mites, pollen, or pet dander). Patients *at risk* for developing FA are defined as those with a biological parent or sibling with existing, or history of, allergic rhinitis, asthma, AD, or FA. This definition of “*at risk*” is used throughout sections 5.2 and 5.3.

### 5.2.2. Dietary Avoidance of Foods With Cross-Reactivities in At-Risk Patients

**Guideline 33:** The EP suggests that patients *at risk* for developing FA do *not* need to limit exposure to foods that may be cross-reactive with the eight major food allergens in the United States (milk, egg, peanut, tree nuts, soy, wheat, fish, and crustacean shellfish).

### 5.2.3. Testing of Allergenic Foods in Patients at High Risk Prior to Introduction

**In Summary:** The EP concludes that insufficient evidence exists to recommend routine FA testing prior to the introduction of highly allergenic foods (such as milk, egg, and peanut) in children who are *at high risk* of reacting to the introduction of such foods. The definition of children *at high risk*, in this specific situation, is children with preexisting severe allergic disease and/or a family history of FA. Nevertheless, there

may be some value in FA evaluations that include an oral food challenge for a select group of patients with certain risk factors, such as having a sibling with peanut allergy or evidence of another underlying FA (e.g., testing for tree nut allergy in a child with peanut allergy). It is possible that an FA evaluation prior to introduction of a food could potentially prevent allergic reactions. However, widespread SPTs and sIgE tests are not recommended because of their poor predictive value. These tests would lead to many clinically irrelevant results and unnecessary dietary restrictions, especially if unconfirmed by oral food challenges. Overall, the risk-to-benefit ratio of FA evaluation should be considered on an individual basis, especially for the highly allergenic foods in *high-risk* young children.

**Guideline 34:** The EP suggests that the general population of children *not* be tested for FA to highly allergenic foods prior to their introduction into the diet. The general population of children does not have preexisting severe allergic disease and also does not have a family history of FA.

#### 5.2.4. Testing in Infants and Children With Persistent Atopic Dermatitis

**Guideline 35:** The EP suggests that children less than 5 years old with moderate to severe AD be considered for FA evaluation for milk, egg, peanut, wheat, and soy, if *at least one* of the following conditions is met:

- The child has persistent AD in spite of optimized management and topical therapy.
- The child has a reliable history of an immediate reaction after ingestion of a specific food.

### 5.3. Prevention of Food Allergy

#### 5.3.1. Maternal Diet During Pregnancy and Lactation

**Guideline 36:** The EP does *not* recommend restricting maternal diet during pregnancy or lactation as a strategy for preventing the development or clinical course of FA.

#### 5.3.2. Breast-Feeding

**Guideline 37:** The EP recommends that all infants be exclusively breast-fed until 4 to 6 months of age, unless breast-feeding is contraindicated for medical reasons.

#### 5.3.3. Special Diets in Infants and Young Children

##### 5.3.3.1. Soy Infant Formula Versus Cow's Milk Formula

**Guideline 38:** The EP does *not* recommend using soy infant formula instead of cow's milk infant formula as a strategy for preventing the development of FA or modifying its clinical course in *at-risk* infants (“*at risk*” is defined in Guideline 32).

### 5.3.3.2. Hydrolyzed Infant Formulas Versus Cow's Milk Formula or Breast-Feeding

**Guideline 39:** The EP suggests that the use of hydrolyzed infant formulas, as opposed to cow's milk formula, may be considered as a strategy for preventing the development of FA in *at-risk* infants who are not exclusively breast-fed ("*at risk*" is defined in Guideline 32). Cost and availability of extensively hydrolyzed infant formulas may be weighed as prohibitive factors.

### 5.3.4. Timing of Introduction of Allergenic Foods to Infants

**Guideline 40:** The EP suggests that the introduction of solid foods should *not* be delayed beyond 4 to 6 months of age. Potentially allergenic foods may be introduced at this time as well.



# SECTION 6. Diagnosis and Management of Food-Induced Anaphylaxis and Other Acute Allergic Reactions to Foods

## 6.1. Definition of Anaphylaxis

**Anaphylaxis** is defined as a serious allergic reaction that is rapid in onset and may cause death. Typically, IgE-mediated food-induced anaphylaxis is believed to involve systemic mediator release from sensitized mast cells and basophils. The term **anaphylactoid** has been used in the past to indicate adverse reactions that are not IgE mediated and typically are not life-threatening. This term is imprecise and will not be used in these Guidelines.

## 6.2. Diagnosis of Acute, Life-Threatening, Food-Induced Allergic Reactions

**Guideline 41:** The EP recommends that the health care professional considering a diagnosis of food-induced anaphylaxis should understand:

- The signs and symptoms characteristic of anaphylaxis
- The timing of symptoms in association with food ingestion/exposure
- Comorbid conditions, such as asthma, that may affect treatment and outcome
- The limited utility of laboratory parameters in the acute-care setting

## 6.3. Treatment of Acute, Life-Threatening, Food-Induced Allergic Reactions

### 6.3.1. First-Line and Adjuvant Treatment for Food-Induced Anaphylaxis

**Guideline 42:** The EP recommends that treatment for food-induced anaphylaxis should focus on the following:

- Prompt and rapid treatment after onset of symptoms (see table II)
- Intramuscular (IM) epinephrine as first-line therapy
- Other treatments, which are adjunctive to epinephrine dosing

**TABLE II. Summary of the Pharmacologic Management of Anaphylaxis (Modified)**

*Note:* These treatments often occur concomitantly, and are not meant to be sequential, with the exception of epinephrine as first-line treatment.

In the Outpatient Setting
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• First-line treatment:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Epinephrine, IM; auto-injector or 1:1,000 solution                   <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Weight 10–25 kg: 0.15 mg epinephrine autoinjector, IM (anterior-lateral thigh)</li> <li>▶ Weight &gt;25 kg: 0.3 mg epinephrine autoinjector, IM (anterior-lateral thigh)</li> <li>▶ Epinephrine (1:1,000 solution) (IM), 0.01 mg/kg per dose; maximum dose, 0.5 mg per dose (anterior-lateral thigh)</li> </ul> </li> <li>– Epinephrine doses may need to be repeated every 5–15 minutes</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Adjunctive treatment:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Bronchodilator (<math>\beta_2</math>-agonist): albuterol                   <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ MDI (child: 4–8 puffs; adult: 8 puffs) <i>or</i></li> <li>▶ Nebulized solution (child: 1.5 ml; adult: 3 ml) every 20 minutes or continuously as needed</li> </ul> </li> <li>– H<sub>1</sub> antihistamine: diphenhydramine                   <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ 1–2 mg/kg per dose</li> <li>▶ Maximum dose, 50 mg IV or oral (oral liquid is more readily absorbed than tablets)</li> <li>▶ Alternative dosing may be with a less-sedating second generation antihistamine</li> </ul> </li> <li>– Supplemental oxygen therapy</li> <li>– IV fluids in large volumes if patient presents with orthostasis, hypotension, or incomplete response to IM epinephrine</li> <li>– Place the patient in recumbent position if tolerated, with the lower extremities elevated</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
In the Hospital-Based Setting
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• First-line treatment:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Epinephrine IM as above, consider continuous epinephrine infusion for persistent hypotension (ideally with continuous non-invasive monitoring of blood pressure and heart rate); alternatives are endotracheal or intra-osseous epinephrine</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Adjunctive treatment:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Bronchodilator (<math>\beta_2</math>-agonist): albuterol                   <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ MDI (child: 4–8 puffs; adult: 8 puffs) <i>or</i></li> <li>▶ Nebulized solution (child: 1.5 ml; adult: 3 ml) every 20 minutes or continuously as needed</li> </ul> </li> <li>– H<sub>1</sub> antihistamine: diphenhydramine                   <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ 1–2 mg/kg per dose</li> <li>▶ Maximum dose, 50 mg IV or oral (oral liquid is more readily absorbed than tablets)</li> <li>▶ Alternative dosing may be with a less-sedating second generation antihistamine</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>

**TABLE II. Summary of the Pharmacologic Management of Anaphylaxis (Modified) (continued)**

In the Hospital-Based Setting (continued)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- H<sub>2</sub> antihistamine: ranitidine               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ 1–2 mg/kg per dose</li> <li>▶ Maximum dose, 75–150 mg oral and IV</li> </ul> </li> <li>- Corticosteroids               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Prednisone at 1 mg/kg with a maximum dose of 60–80 mg oral <i>or</i></li> <li>▶ Methylprednisolone at 1 mg/kg with a maximum dose of 60–80 mg IV</li> </ul> </li> <li>- Vasopressors (other than epinephrine) for refractory hypotension, titrate to effect</li> <li>- Glucagon for refractory hypotension, titrate to effect               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Child: 20–30 µg/kg</li> <li>▶ Adult: 1–5 mg</li> <li>▶ Dose may be repeated or followed by infusion of 5–15 µg/min</li> </ul> </li> <li>- Atropine for bradycardia, titrate to effect</li> <li>- Supplemental oxygen therapy</li> <li>- IV fluids in large volumes if patients present with orthostasis, hypotension, or incomplete response to IM epinephrine</li> <li>- Place the patient in recumbent position if tolerated, with the lower extremities elevated</li> </ul>
Therapy for the Patient at Discharge
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• First-line treatment:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Epinephrine auto-injector prescription (2 doses) and instructions</li> <li>- Education on avoidance of allergen</li> <li>- Follow-up with primary care physician</li> <li>- Consider referral to an allergist</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Adjunctive treatment:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- H<sub>1</sub> antihistamine: diphenhydramine every 6 hours for 2–3 days; alternative dosing with a non-sedating second-generation antihistamine</li> <li>- H<sub>2</sub> antihistamine: ranitidine twice daily for 2–3 days</li> <li>- Corticosteroid: prednisone daily for 2–3 days</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

*IM*, intramuscular; *IV*, intravenous; *MDI*, metered-dose inhaler.

*Note: This table is presented as table VI in the Guidelines.*

*Epinephrine is the first-line treatment in all cases of anaphylaxis. All other drugs have a delayed onset of action. When there is suboptimal response to the initial dose of epinephrine, or if symptoms progress, repeat epinephrine dosing remains first-line therapy over adjunctive treatments.*

The cornerstones of initial management should begin with the following concurrent steps:

- Elimination of additional allergen exposure
- IM injection of epinephrine
- Call for help (summon a resuscitation team in the hospital setting, call 9–1–1 or an equivalent service in the community setting), although attempts to summon help should not delay use of epinephrine

These actions should be quickly followed by these additional steps:

- Placement of the patient in a recumbent position (if tolerated), with the lower extremities elevated
- Provision of supplemental oxygen
- Administration of intravenous (IV) fluid (volume resuscitation)

**In Summary:** The use of antihistamines is the most common reason reported for not using epinephrine and may place a patient at significantly increased risk for progression toward a life-threatening reaction.

### 6.3.2. Treatment of Refractory Anaphylaxis

No published prospective studies exist on the optimal management of refractory anaphylactic shock. Repeated use of epinephrine, as well as IV fluids, corticosteroids, and vasopressor agents, may be needed. Prompt transfer to an acute-care facility and intensive-care unit for treatment and monitoring is essential.

### 6.3.3. Possible Risks of Acute Therapy for Anaphylaxis

There are no absolute contraindications to epinephrine use in anaphylaxis. However, there are subgroups of patients who might theoretically be at higher risk for adverse effects during epinephrine therapy. Because the risk of death or serious disability from anaphylaxis itself usually outweighs other concerns, existing evidence clearly favors the benefit of epinephrine administration in most situations. Some level of decision making regarding the risk-to-benefit ratio may be warranted for patients under specific circumstances (described in the Guidelines).

### 6.3.4. Treatment To Prevent Biphasic or Prolonged Food-Induced Allergic Reactions

Very little information exists that defines the mechanism of biphasic or prolonged allergic reactions. Similarly, little information exists to support specific therapy to prevent biphasic or prolonged food-induced allergic reactions. In general, induction and recruitment of inflammatory cells and release of preformed, long-acting mediators from mast cells have been implicated as mechanisms. Due to their anti-inflammatory

properties, systemic corticosteroids are often recommended to prevent biphasic or protracted food-induced allergic reactions, but little data support their use.

### 6.3.5. Management of Milder, Acute Food-Induced Allergic Reactions in Health Care Settings

Milder forms of allergic reactions, such as flushing, urticaria, isolated mild angioedema, or symptoms of OAS, can be treated with H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> antihistamine medications. When antihistamines alone are given, ongoing observation and monitoring are warranted to ensure a lack of progression to more significant symptoms of anaphylaxis. If progression or increased severity is noted, epinephrine should be administered immediately. Additionally, if there is a history of a prior severe allergic reaction, epinephrine should be administered promptly and earlier in the course of treatment (e.g., at the onset of even mild symptoms).

## 6.4. Management of Food-Induced Anaphylaxis

**Guideline 43:** The EP recommends that the management of food-induced anaphylaxis should focus on the following:

- Dosing with IM epinephrine followed by transfer to an emergency facility for observation and possible further treatment
- Observation for 4 to 6 hours or longer based on severity of the reaction
- Education for patient and family on:
  - Allergen avoidance
  - Early recognition of signs and symptoms of anaphylaxis
  - Anaphylaxis emergency action plan implementation
  - Appropriate IM epinephrine administration
  - Medical identification jewelry or an anaphylaxis wallet card
- Epinephrine auto-injector prescription and training provided at the time of discharge
- Continuation of adjunctive treatment after patient discharge:
  - H<sub>1</sub> antihistamine: diphenhydramine every 6 hours for 2–3 days; alternative dosing with a nonsedating second-generation antihistamine
  - H<sub>2</sub> antihistamine: ranitidine twice daily for 2–3 days
  - Corticosteroid: prednisone daily for 2–3 days
- Follow-up appointment with primary health care professional (after the food-induced anaphylactic reaction), with consideration for additional follow-up with a clinical specialist such as an allergist/immunologist

# APPENDIX A. Primary Author Affiliations and Acknowledgments

## Primary Authors

### \*Joshua A. Boyce, M.D.

Division of Rheumatology, Immunology  
and Allergy  
Brigham and Women's Hospital  
Department of Medicine  
Harvard Medical School  
Boston, MA

### \*Amal Assa'ad, M.D.

Division of Allergy and Immunology  
Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical  
Center  
University of Cincinnati  
Cincinnati, OH

### \*A. Wesley Burks, M.D.

Division of Allergy and Immunology  
Department of Pediatrics  
Duke University Medical Center  
Durham, NC

### \*Stacie M. Jones, M.D.

Division of Allergy and Immunology  
Department of Pediatrics  
University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences  
Arkansas Children's Hospital  
Little Rock, AR

### \*Hugh A. Sampson, M.D.

Elliot and Roslyn Jaffe Food Allergy Institute  
Division of Allergy and Immunology  
Department of Pediatrics  
Mount Sinai School of Medicine  
New York, NY

### \*Robert A. Wood, M.D.

Division of Allergy and Immunology  
Department of Pediatrics  
The Johns Hopkins University School of  
Medicine  
Baltimore, MD

### Marshall Plaut, M.D.

Division of Allergy, Immunology, and  
Transplantation  
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious  
Diseases  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, MD

### Susan F. Cooper, M.Sc.

Division of Allergy, Immunology, and  
Transplantation  
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious  
Diseases  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, MD

### Matthew J. Fenton, Ph.D.

Division of Allergy, Immunology, and  
Transplantation  
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious  
Diseases  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, MD

---

Authors who are members of the Expert Panel are denoted with an asterisk (\*) in this list.

For additional information, please contact the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases at [daitinfo@niaid.nih.gov](mailto:daitinfo@niaid.nih.gov).

# Acknowledgments

## NIAID-Sponsored Expert Panel

**S. Hasan Arshad, M.B.B.S., M.R.C.P., D.M., F.R.C.P.**  
 School of Medicine  
 University of Southampton  
 Southampton, UK  
 The David Hide Asthma and Allergy Research Centre  
 St. Mary's Hospital  
 Newport, Isle of Wight, UK  
 Southampton University Hospital NHS Trust  
 Southampton, UK

**Sami L. Bahna, M.D., Dr.P.H.**  
 Department of Pediatrics  
 Section of Allergy and Immunology  
 Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center  
 Shreveport, LA

**Lisa A. Beck, M.D.**  
 Department of Dermatology  
 University of Rochester Medical Center  
 Rochester, NY

**Carol Byrd-Bredbenner, Ph.D., R.D., F.A.D.A.**  
 Department of Nutritional Sciences  
 Rutgers University  
 New Brunswick, NJ

**Carlos A. Camargo, Jr., M.D., Dr.P.H.**  
 Department of Emergency Medicine  
 Division of Rheumatology, Allergy and Immunology  
 Department of Medicine  
 Massachusetts General Hospital  
 Harvard Medical School  
 Boston, MA

**Lawrence Eichenfield, M.D.**  
 Division of Pediatric and Adolescent Dermatology  
 Rady Children's Hospital  
 San Diego, CA  
 Departments of Pediatrics and Medicine  
 University of California, San Diego  
 San Diego, CA

**Glenn T. Furuta, M.D.**  
 Section of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition  
 Digestive Health Institute  
 Children's Hospital Denver  
 Aurora, CO  
 Department of Pediatrics  
 National Jewish Health  
 Denver, CO  
 Department of Pediatrics  
 University of Colorado Denver School of Medicine  
 Aurora, CO

**Jon M. Hanifin, M.D.**  
 Department of Dermatology  
 Oregon Health and Science University  
 Portland, OR

**Carol Jones, R.N., A.E.-C.**  
 Asthma Educator and Consultant  
 Allergy and Asthma Network Mothers of Asthmatics  
 McLean, VA

**Monica Kraft, M.D.**  
 Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, and Critical Care Medicine  
 Department of Medicine  
 Duke University Medical Center  
 Durham, NC

**Bruce D. Levy, M.D.**

Partners Asthma Center  
 Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine  
 Brigham and Women's Hospital and  
 Harvard Medical School  
 Boston, MA

**Phil Lieberman, M.D.**

Division of Allergy and Immunology  
 Department of Medicine  
 University of Tennessee College of Medicine  
 Memphis, TN

**Stefano Luccioli, M.D.**

Office of Food Additive Safety  
 U.S. Food and Drug Administration  
 College Park, MD

**Kathleen M. McCall, B.S.N., R.N.**

Children's Hospital of Orange County  
 Orange, CA

**Lynda C. Schneider, M.D.**

Division of Immunology  
 Children's Hospital Boston  
 Boston, MA

**Ronald A. Simon, M.D.**

Division of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology  
 Scripps Clinic  
 San Diego, CA

**F. Estelle R. Simons, M.D.**

Departments of Pediatrics and Child Health  
 and Immunology  
 Faculty of Medicine  
 University of Manitoba  
 Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

**Stephen J. Teach, M.D., M.P.H.**

Division of Emergency Medicine  
 Children's National Medical Center  
 Washington, DC

**Barbara P. Yawn, M.D., M.P.H., M.Sc.**

Department of Research  
 Olmsted Medical Center  
 Rochester, MN  
 Department of Family and Community  
 Health  
 University of Minnesota School of Medicine  
 Minneapolis, MN

**NIAID Staff****Julie M. Schwaninger, M.Sc.**

Division of Allergy, Immunology, and  
 Transplantation  
 National Institute of Allergy and Infectious  
 Diseases  
 National Institutes of Health  
 Bethesda, MD



## APPENDIX B. List of Abbreviations

AAP:	American Academy of Pediatrics
ACIP:	Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices
AD:	Atopic dermatitis
AP:	Allergic proctocolitis
APT:	Atopy patch test
CC:	Coordinating Committee
COI:	Conflict of interest
EoE:	Eosinophilic esophagitis
EP:	Expert Panel
FA:	Food allergy
FPIES:	Food protein-induced enterocolitis syndrome
GI:	Gastrointestinal
GRADE:	Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation
ICD-9-CM:	International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification
IgE:	Immunoglobulin E
IM:	Intramuscular
IV:	Intravenous
MDI:	Metered-dose inhaler
MMR:	Measles, mumps, and rubella
MMRV:	Measles, mumps, rubella, and varicella
NIAID:	National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases
OAS:	Oral allergy syndrome
sIgE:	Allergen-specific IgE
SPT:	Skin prick test







U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
National Institutes of Health



National Institute of Allergy  
and Infectious Diseases

NIH Publication No. 11-7700  
December 2010  
[www.niaid.nih.gov](http://www.niaid.nih.gov)